IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF ALABAMA NORTHERN DIVISION

RECEIVED

MEREDITH CHADWICK RAY and PHILLIP RAY.

Plaintiffs,

VS.

FORD MOTOR COMPANY: Fictitious "A", Defendant that person, corporation, or other legal entity who designed, engineered, manufactured, installed, or marketed the Mercury Mountaineer vehicle which is the subject matter of this lawsuit; Fictitious Defendant "B", that person, corporation, or other legal entity who designed, engineered, manufactured, installed, or marketed the automatic transmission and shift mechanism thereof for the vehicle which is the subject matter of this lawsuit: Fictitious Defendant "C", that person, corporation or other legal entity who designed, engineered, manufactured, installed or marketed the shift indicator for the subject vehicle; Fictitious Defendant "D", that person, corporation, or other legal entity who designed, engineered, manufactured, installed, or marketed the brake transmission shift interlock for the subject vehicle; Fictitious Defendant "E", that person, corporation or other legal entity who sold or participated in the distribution of the subject vehicle into the stream of commerce; Fictitious Defendant "F", that person, corporation or other legal entity who's negligence or wantonness combined with the negligence or wantonness of others to cause the injuries to) Meredith Chadwick Ray, all of said

2007 FEB 27 P 3: 44

DEBRA P. HACKETT, CLK U.S. DISTRICT COURT MODLE DISTRICT ALA

3:07 cv 175 - WHA CIVIL ACTION NO. CV-2007-

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

Fictitious Defendants are unknown to Plaintiff at this time but will be substituted by amendment when ascertained,

Defendants.

COMPLAINT

STATEMENT OF THE PARTIES

- 1. Plaintiff, Meredith Chadwick Ray is over the age of nineteen (19) years and is a resident citizen of Chambers County, Alabama.
- 2. Plaintiff, Phillip Ray is over the age of nineteen (19) years and is a resident citizen of Chambers County, Alabama.
- 3. Defendant Ford Motor Company (hereinafter "Ford") is believed to be a foreign corporation with its principal place of business in Detroit, Michigan, and does business by agent in the State of Alabama. Said Defendant has sufficient contacts with the State of Alabama to invoke the jurisdiction of this Court. Defendant Ford is believed to be the entity which was responsible for the design, engineering, manufacturing, and marketing of the 2002 Mercury Mountaineer, VIN # 4M2ZU66W02UJ37911, which is the subject matter of this lawsuit.
- 4. Fictitious Defendant "A" is that person, corporation, or other legal entity who designed, engineered, manufactured, installed, or marketed the 2002 Mercury Mountaineer vehicle which is the subject matter of this lawsuit.
- 5. Fictitious Defendant "B" is that person, corporation, or other legal entity who designed, engineered, manufactured, installed, or marketed the automatic

transmission and shift mechanism thereof for the vehicle which is the subject matter of this lawsuit.

- Fictitious Defendant "C" is that person, corporation or other legal entity 6. who designed, engineered, manufactured, installed, or marketed the shift indicator for the subject vehicle.
- Fictitious Defendant "D" is that person, corporation, or other legal entity 7. who designed, engineered, manufactured, installed, or marketed the brake transmission shift interlock for the subject vehicle.
- Fictitious Defendant "E" is that person, corporation or other legal entity 8. who sold or participated in the distribution of the subject vehicle into the stream of commerce.
- Fictitious Defendant "F" is that person, corporation or other legal entity 9. who's negligence or wantonness combined with the negligence or wantonness of others to cause the injuries to Meredith Chadwick Ray.
- 10. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction of this civil action on the basis that the amount in controversy exceeds \$ 75,000, and is between citizens of different states. 28 U.S.C.S. § 1332.

Statement of the Facts

- 11. This cause of action arises out of an incident that occurred on April 21, 2006 in Lee County, Alabama.
- 12. On said date, Meredith Chadwick Ray was driving her 2002 Mercury Mountaineer and placed the vehicle into park.

- Meredith Chadwick Ray exited the vehicle with the transmission in park 13. and the engine running.
- Because the park interlock (brake transmission shift interlock) failed to 14. properly operate, the transmission shift lever was moved out of park and into gear. As a result, the vehicle moved forward and struck Meredith Chadwick Ray and crushed her against a building wall. She suffered numerous injuries, including the amputation of her leg.
- At the time of the incident the vehicle was in substantially the same 15. condition at the time it left the Defendant's hands and placed the vehicle into the stream of commerce.
- 16. The subject vehicle was being used as intended and in a manner reasonably foreseeable to Defendants.
- 17. The Defendants knew or should have known that this vehicle was equipped with a defective transmission park/shift interlock (brake transmission shift interlock) yet failed to take steps to prevent this accident.
- 18. As a direct and proximate result of the injuries to his wife, Phillip Ray has suffered mental anguish, emotional pain and suffering, and loss of society, marital care, and comfort to the detriment of his marital relationship. Further, he has been injured and has been damaged by loss of consortium as to his right to his wife's company, fellowship, cooperation, and assistance in the marital relationship as a partner in the family unit.

COUNT ONE (Alabama Extended Manufacturer's Liability Doctrine)

- 19. Plaintiffs reallege and incorporate by reference all of the allegations contained in paragraphs 1 through 18 of the Complaint as if set out here in full.
- 20. This cause of action is brought pursuant to the Alabama Extended Manufacturer's Liability Doctrine (AEMLD).
- 21. Defendant Ford and Fictitious Defendants "A" through "F" designed, manufactured, distributed and marketed the 2002 Mercury Mountaineer.
- 22. Defendants expected that the subject vehicle would reach the user or consumer in the condition that it was at the time of incident.
- 23. The subject vehicle was in substantially the same mechanical and design condition on the date of the incident as on the date of the original manufacture and sale.
- 24. The subject vehicle was being used as it was intended to be used and in a manner reasonably foreseeable to Defendants.
 - 25. The incident was reasonably foreseeable to Defendants.
- 26. The subject vehicle, including its component parts, was defective in its design, manufacture and/or the warnings that accompanied it.
- 27. The defective or unreasonably dangerous condition of the vehicle subjected Plaintiff, Meredith Chadwick Ray, to an unreasonable risk of harm in that it contained a defective transmission shift interlock that allowed the vehicle to move from park into gear without requiring that the brake be depressed.
- 28. As a direct and proximate result of the defective condition of the vehicle as alleged herein, Plaintiff, Meredith Chadwick Ray, suffered severe permanent physical injuries, including but not limited to the amoutation of her leg.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs Meredith Chadwick Ray and Phillip Ray demand judgment against Defendants Ford Motor Company and Fictitious Defendants "A" through "F" in such amount as a jury may award, plus the cost of this action.

(Negligence)

- 29. Plaintiffs reallege and incorporate by reference all of the allegations contained in paragraphs 1 through 28 of the Complaint as if set out here in full.
- 30. Defendants Ford and Fictitious Defendants "A" through "F" were negligent in the design, manufacture, testing, inspection, distribution and/or sale, maintenance or repair, and failure to recall of the 2002 Mercury Mountaineer vehicle which is the subject matter of this lawsuit.
- 31. As a proximate result of the negligence of Defendants, Meredith Chadwick Ray was severely injured as described herein.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs Meredith Chadwick Ray and Phillip Ray demand such judgment against Defendants Ford Motor Company and Fictitious Defendants "A" through "F" in such amount as a jury may award, plus the cost of this action.

(Wantonness)

- 32. Plaintiffs reallege and incorporate by reference all of the allegations contained in paragraphs 1 through 31 of the Complaint as if set out here in full.
- 33. Defendants Ford and Fictitious Defendants "A" through "F" were wanton in the design, manufacture, testing, inspection, distribution and/or sale, maintenance or repair, and failure to recall of the 2002 Mercury Mountaineer vehicle which is the subject matter of this lawsuit.

As a proximate result of the wantonness of Defendants, Meredith 34. Chadwick Ray was severely injured.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs Meredith Chadwick Ray and Phillip Ray demand such judgment against Defendants Ford Motor Company and Fictitious Defendants "A" through "F" in such amount as a jury may award, plus the cost of this action.

COUNT FOUR (LOSS OF CONSORTIUM)

- Plaintiffs reallege and incorporate by reference all of the allegations 35. contained in paragraphs 1 through 34 of the Complaint as if set out here in full.
- Plaintiff Phillip Ray is the husband and marriage partner of Meredith 36. Chadwick Ray.
- Plaintiff Phillip Ray was injured and damaged as alleged herein as a result 37. of the negligence and/or wantonness of Defendants.
- As a proximate result of Defendants' negligence and/or wantonness, 38. Plaintiff Phillip Ray lost the love, affection, and services of his wife, Meredith Chadwick Ray.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff Phillip Ray demands judgment against Defendants in such an amount of compensatory damages as a jury may award, a separate amount of punitive damages, and his costs of this action.

ANDREWS (AND076) Attorneys for Plaintiff

OF COUNSEL:

BEASLEY, ALLEN, CROW, METHVIN, PORTIS & MILES, P.C. Post Office Box 4160 Montgomery, Alabama 36104 (334) 269-2343 (334) 954-7555 (fax)

JURY DEMAND

PLAINTIFFS HEREBY DEMAND TRIAL BY JURY ON ALL ISSUES OF THIS CAUSE.